

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,867	08/20/2003	Un-Jin Choi	1293.1859	5192	
21171 STAAS & HA	7590 01/04/2007 LSEY LLP		EXAMINER		
SUITE 700			KLIMOWICZ, WILLIAM JOSEPH		
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2627		
		·		*	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		01/04/2007	PAI	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/643,867	CHOI, UN-JIN				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		William J. Klimowicz	2627				
7 Period for R	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHOR WHICHE - Extensior after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The index of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The index of the provisions of	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA: 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	TION.  be timely filed  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)∭ Th 3)∭ Sir	esponsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{28 \ Nc}$ is action is <b>FINAL</b> . $2b)$ This nee this application is in condition for allowant seed in accordance with the practice under $E$	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters					
Disposition of Claims							
4a) 5)☐ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)☐ Cla	aim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.  Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw aim(s) is/are allowed.  aim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.  aim(s) is/are objected to.  aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	•					
Application	Papers		•				
10)∭ The Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the Examiner of drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception and a specificant may not request that any objection to the opposition of the conference of the	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. on is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)		•					
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892)  Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  (s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/643,867

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 28, 2006 has been entered.

#### Claim Status

Claims 1-25 are currently pending.

No Claims have been withdrawn or cancelled.

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

With regard to page 4, line 7 of paragraph [0017], the phrase "optical disc D as shown in FIG. 3" should be changed to the phrase -- optical disc D as shown in FIG. 1 -- since there is no optical disc depicted in FIG. 3..

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/643,867

Art Unit: 2627

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

More concretely, with regard to independent claims 1 and 11, the phrase "a penetration having a substantially similar shape as the protrusion" (claim 1) and the phrase "the protruding space having a substantially similar shape as the penetration" (claim 11) are both vague and ambiguous. in light of the scope of coverage sought to be protected by Applicant, based on Applicant's disclosure as a whole. More concretely, the specification does not define the metes and bounds, of what constitutes "a substantially similar shape" Does this means the shape must have two sides which are congruent; tree sides congruent? A congruent volume?

The word "substantially" has more than one distinct connotation, but one accepted meaning is "being largely but not wholly that which is specified." Webster's Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary, 1990. As such, "substantially" often finds use as a broadening term in claim drafting. Applicant does not point to anything in the specification that the invention defines such a term. Applicant does not point to anything in the specification that sets forth any range, narrow or otherwise, with respect to what may be considered "substantially similar shape" as the term is used within the scope of the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,867

Art Unit: 2627

Does the phrase "the protruding space having a substantially similar shape as the penetration" in fact, require that a three dimensional protruding space fit within a volume that has been removed which forms the penetration, such that if the penetration wasn't formed in the lower case, the protrusion would occupy as least some three dimensional space of the protrusion when the deck resides within the optical disc drive?

As noted in the MPEP 2173, the claims must particularly point out and distinctly claim the invention. "The primary purpose of this requirement of definiteness of claim language is to ensure that the scope of the claims is clear so the *public is informed of the boundaries of what constitutes infringement of the patent.*" *Id.* Emphasis added.

Additionally, since claims 2-10 and 12-25 depend directly or indirectly from claims 1 and 11, respectively, they too are thus rejected under the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112.

## Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner suggest the following claim language, that would be favorably considered, if added to the independent claims, and that would obviate the 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejection by providing broad scope coverage, while simultaneously informing the public of the metes and bounds of claim coverage:

Art Unit: 2627

...the penetration formed by omitting and/or removing a portion of the lower case, the protruding space having a substantially similar shape as the penetration such that a two and/or three dimensional protruding space fits within a plane and/or volume that has been removed which forms the penetration, such that if the penetration wasn't formed in the lower case, the protrusion would occupy as least a portion of the two dimensional and/or three dimensional plane and/or space of the protrusion when the deck resides within the optical disc drive.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William J. Klimowicz whose telephone number is (571) 272-7577. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (6:30AM-5:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Thi Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William J.Klimowicz Primary Examiner Art Unit 2627

WJK